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#### Abstract

Reaction of $(\mu-\mathrm{PhSe})_{2} \mathrm{Fe}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}$ with $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}$ and $\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{MeP}$ in refluxing benzene afforded mono-substituted derivatives $(\mu-\mathrm{PhSe})_{2} \mathrm{Fe}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{5} \mathrm{~L}\left(\mathbf{1 a}, \mathrm{~L}=\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P} ; \mathbf{1 b}, \mathrm{L}=\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{MeP}\right)$, whereas reaction in refluxing toluene gave symmetrically bis-substituted derivatives $(\mu-\mathrm{PhSe})_{2} \mathrm{Fe}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4} \mathrm{~L}_{2}\left(2 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{L}=\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}\right.$; 2b, $\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{MeP}$ ); Reaction of ( $\mu$ - ArSe$)_{2} \mathrm{Fe}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}\left(\mathrm{Ar}=\mathrm{Ph}, p-\mathrm{MeC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right.$ ) with $\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{PPh}_{2}$ in refluxing toluene gave symmetrically substituted ditertiary phosphine-bridged derivatives $(\mu-\mathrm{ArSe})_{2} \mathrm{Fe}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right)\left(3 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{Ar}=\mathrm{Ph} ; \mathbf{3 b}, \mathrm{Ar}=p-\mathrm{MeC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$, while $\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}$ $=\mathrm{CHPPh}_{2}$ in benzene yielded non-symmetrically substituted chelated derivatives $(\mu \text { - } \mathrm{ArSe})_{2} \mathrm{Fe}_{2}$ $(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}=\mathrm{CHPPh}_{2}\right)\left(4 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{Ar}=\mathrm{Ph} ; 4 \mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{Ar}=p-\mathrm{MeC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$, in which the diphosphine is coordinated to a single iron atom. Structures of the above derivatives have been elucidated by IR and NMR ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ and ${ }^{77} \mathrm{Se}$ ) spectroscopy; the molecular structure of 4 a was confirmed by X-ray diffraction techniques. It crystallizes in the monoclinic space group $P 2_{1} / c$ with $a=21.908(3), b=$ 17.207(2), $c=23.954(2) \AA, \beta=114.24(1)^{\circ}, V=8234 \AA^{3} X, Z=4$ and $D c=1.501 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$; final $R=0.060$ for 3941 observed reflections.
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## INTRODUCTION

Although CO substitutions of thiolato-bridged complexes $(\mu-\mathrm{RS})_{2} \mathrm{Fe}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}$ and $(\mu-\mathrm{RS})\left(\mu-\mathrm{R}^{\prime} \mathrm{S}\right) \mathrm{Fe}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}$ by tertiary phosphines have been extensively studied, ${ }^{1-6}$ corresponding reactions of selenolato-bridged complexes $(\mu-\mathrm{RSe})_{2} \mathrm{Fe}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}$ are

[^0]so far very little explored. ${ }^{7}$ To compare the substitution reactivity of the CO ligand in those bridged complexes and to prepare new derivatives of selenolatobridged complexes, an investigation of the reactions of $\left(\mu-\mathrm{RSe}_{2} \mathrm{Fe}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}\right.$ ( $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Ph}$, $p$ - $\mathrm{MeC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ ) with tertiary phosphines $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{MeP}, \mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ $\mathrm{PPh}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}=\mathrm{CHPPh}_{2}$ was undertaken. Herein we describe the synthesis and characterization of several new tertiary and ditertiary phosphine derivatives and the single-crystal structure of one ditertiary phosphine derivative, $(\mu-\mathrm{PhSe})_{2} \mathrm{Fe}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}=\mathrm{CHPPh}_{2}\right), 4 \mathrm{a}$.

## EXPERIMENTAL

All reactions were carried out under an atmosphere of highly pre-purified tank nitrogen. Benzene and toluene were distilled under nitrogen from sodium/benzophenone ketyl and purged with nitrogen prior to use. Triphenylphosphine, methyldiphenylphosphine, 1, 2-bis(diphenylphosphinyl)ethane and cis-1,2bis(diphenylphosphinyl)ethylene were chemical reagents purchased from Strem Chemicals Inc.; $(\mu-\mathrm{PhSe})_{2} \mathrm{Fe}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}$ and $\left(\mu-p-\mathrm{MeC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Se}_{2} \mathrm{Fe}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}\right.$ were obtained according to published methods. ${ }^{8-9}$

The progress of reactions was monitored by thin layer chromatography. Column chromatography was carried out with a $300 \times 25 \mathrm{~mm}$ column using 200-300 mesh silica gel. Solid products were recrystallized from deoxygenated 1:1 $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and hexane at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Melting points are uncorrected. Microanalyses were performed on a Perkin Elmer 240C instrument. IR spectra were recorded on a Nicolet FT-IR 5DX spectrophotometer using KBr discs. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ and ${ }^{77} \mathrm{Se}$ NMR spectra were recorded on JEOL FX-90Q and Bruker ARX-500 NMR spectrometers.

## Preparation of 1a-b

A $100 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ Schlenk flask equipped with a stir bar and a nitrogen inlet tube was charged with $0.296 \mathrm{~g}(0.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $(\mu-\mathrm{PhSe})_{2} \mathrm{Fe}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}, 0.157 \mathrm{~g}(0.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ of triphenylphosphine and $30 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ of benzene. The mixture was stirred at reflux for 3 h . Solvent was removed in vacuo and the residue was subjected to column chromatography. First, a mixture of petroleum ether and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}=9 / 1)$ eluted a minor orange band, which was not collected. Further elution with a mixture of petroleum ether and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}=3 / 1)$ yielded a major red band, from which $0.193 \mathrm{~g}(47 \%)$ of 1 a was obtained as red crystals after recrystallization. M.p. $118-119^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; anal., calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{35} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{PSe}_{2}$ : C, 50.88; H, 3.05\%;
found: C, 51.14; H, 3.55\%; IR (KBr disc): $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{C}=0} 2041$ (vs), 1975 (vs), 1926 (s) $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \delta\right): 6.52-7.76\left(\mathrm{~m}, 25 \mathrm{H}, 5 \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{77} \mathrm{Se}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{Se}_{2}, \delta$ ) : 297.58 (s) ppm.

A similar reaction using $0.11 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}(0.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ methyldiphenylphosphine instead of triphenylphosphine was carried out to afford 0.347 g ( $98 \%$ )
 for $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{PSe}_{2}$ : C, 47.16 ; $\mathrm{H}, 3.03 \%$; found: $\mathrm{C}, 47.01 ; \mathrm{H}, 3.17 \%$; IR ( KBr disc) : $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}} 2032(\mathrm{vs}), 1983(\mathrm{vs}), 1934(\mathrm{vs}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \delta\right): 2.08(\mathrm{~d}$, $\left.\mathrm{J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 6.78-7.94\left(\mathrm{~m}, 20 \mathrm{H}, 4 \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) \mathrm{ppm}$.

## Preparation of 2a-b

To a flask as described above was added $0.296 \mathrm{~g}(0.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $\left(\mu-\mathrm{PhSe}_{2} \mathrm{Fe}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}, 0.392 \mathrm{~g}(1.5 \mathrm{mmol})\right.$ of triphenylphosphine and $50 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ of toluene. The mixture was stirred at reflux for 6 h . Solvent was removed in vacuo and the residue was subjected to column chromatography. A mixture of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and petroleum ether $(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}=1 / 4)$ eluted a red band, from which $0.251 \mathrm{~g}(41 \%)$ of $\mathbf{1 a}$ was obtained. Further elution with a mixture of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and petroleum ether ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}=2 / 3$ ) yielded a dark red band, from which $0.209 \mathrm{~g}(39 \%)$ of $\mathbf{2 a}$ was obtained as dark red crystals after recrystallization. M.p. $166-168^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; anal., calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{52} \mathrm{H}_{40} \mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{Se}_{2}$ : C, 58.90 ; H, $3.80 \%$; found: C, $58.84 ; \mathrm{H}, 3.86 \%$; IR ( KBr disc) : $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}} 1983(\mathrm{vs}), 1936(\mathrm{~m}), 1918(\mathrm{vs}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 10 ;{ }^{-1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \delta\right): 6.52-$ 7.80 ( $\mathrm{m}, 40 \mathrm{H}, 8 \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ ) ppm; ${ }^{77} \mathrm{Se} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{Se}_{2}, \delta\right): 215.76(\mathrm{~s}), 273.31(\mathrm{~s})$ ppm.

The same procedure as that described above for $\mathbf{2 a}$ was used in the preparation of $\mathbf{2 b}$, except for using $0.22 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}(1.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ of methyldiphenylphosphine instead of triphenylphosphine. The reaction gave $0.204 \mathrm{~g}(53 \%)$ of $\mathbf{1 b}$ and $0.182 \mathrm{~g}(39 \%)$ of $\mathbf{2 b}$ as dark red solids. M. p. $146-147^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; anal., calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{42} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{Se}_{2}: \mathrm{C}, 53.88 ; \mathrm{H}, 3.88 \%$; found: $\mathrm{C}, 53.55 ; \mathrm{H}, 3.75 \%$; IR( KBr disc) : $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{C}=0} 1983(\mathrm{vs}), 1942(\mathrm{~m}), 1918(\mathrm{vs}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}^{2}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \delta\right): 2.28(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 6.46-8.00\left(\mathrm{~m}, 30 \mathrm{H}, 6 \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) \mathrm{ppm}$.

## Preparation of 3a-b

To a flask as described above was added $0.296 \mathrm{~g}(0.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ of ( $\mu$ PhSe $)_{2} \mathrm{Fe}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}, 0.199 \mathrm{~g}(0.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{PPh}_{2}$ and $30 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ of toluene. The mixture was stirred at reflux for 2 h . After removal of the solvent in vacuo the residue was subjected to column chromatography. First, petroleum ether eluted a minor orange band, which was not collected. Further elution with
a mixture of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} /$ petroleum ether ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}=1 / 1$ ) yielded a major red band, from which $0.177 \mathrm{~g}(38 \%)$ of $\mathbf{3 a}$ was obtained as red crystals after recrystallization. M.p. $236-237^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; anal., calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{42} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{Se}_{2}$ : C, 53.99 ; $\mathrm{H}, 3.67 \%$; found: C, 53.65; H, 4.10\%; IR(KBr disc): $v_{\mathrm{C}=0}$ 1984(s), 1952(vs), 1924(s), 1897(m) $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \delta\right): 2.20-2.80\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 6.96-8.16(\mathrm{~m}, 30 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.6 \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{77} \mathrm{Se}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{Se}_{2}, \delta\right): 243.01(\mathrm{~s}), 320.31(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=250 \mathrm{~Hz})$ ppm.

The same procedure as that described above for $\mathbf{3 a}$ was used in the preparation of 3b, except for using $0.301 \mathrm{~g}(0.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $\left(\mu-p-\mathrm{MeC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Se}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Fe}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}$ instead of $(\mu-\mathrm{PhSe})_{2} \mathrm{Fe}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}$. The reaction gave $0.172 \mathrm{~g}(36 \%)$ of $\mathbf{3 b}$ as red crystals after recrystallization. M.p. $242-244^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; anal., calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{44} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{Se}_{2}$ : C , $54.92 ; \mathrm{H}, 3.98 \%$; found: $\mathrm{C}, 54.92$; H, $3.87 \%$; IR(KBr disc): $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{o}}$ 1983(s), $1950(\mathrm{vs})$, 1918(s), 1893(vs) $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \delta\right): 2.12,2.30\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.35-$ $2.50\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 6.70-7.88\left(\mathrm{~m}, 28 \mathrm{H}, 4 \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}, 2 \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) ;{ }^{3} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \delta\right)$ : $21.07\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 24.81,25.01\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 128-139\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}, 2 \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 216.24$ 216.28(m, 4 CO$) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{77} \mathrm{Se} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{Se}_{2}, \delta\right): 239.05(\mathrm{~s}), 313.09$ (t, $\mathrm{J}=$ 268 Hz ) ppm.

## Preparation of 4a-b

To a flask as described above was added 0.296 g ( 0.5 mmol ) of $(\mu-\mathrm{PhSe})_{2} \mathrm{Fe}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}, 0.224 \mathrm{~g}(0.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}=\mathrm{CHPPh}_{2}$ and $30 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ of benzene. The mixture was stirred at reflux for 2 h . Solvent was removed in vacuo and the residue was subjected to column chromatography. First, petroleum ether eluted a minor orange band, which was not collected. Then, a mixture of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ /petroleum ether ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}=1 / 1$ ) eluted a major red band, from which $0.361 \mathrm{~g}(81 \%)$ of $\mathbf{4 a}$ was isolated as black crystals after recrystallization. M.p. $162-163^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; anal., calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{42} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{Se}_{2} \cdot 0.36 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ : $\mathrm{C}, 52.87 ; \mathrm{H}, 3.43 \%$; found: C, 52.42; H, 3.41\%; IR(KBr disc): $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}} 2008(\mathrm{vs}), 1950(\mathrm{vs}), 1926(\mathrm{~s}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \delta\right): 5.36\left(\mathrm{~s}, 0.36 \times 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right), 6.58(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{CH})$, $6.80-7.88\left(\mathrm{~m}, 30 \mathrm{H}, 6 \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{77} \mathrm{Se}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{Se}_{2}, \delta\right): 223.55(\mathrm{~s})$, $324.01(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=490 \mathrm{~Hz}) \mathrm{ppm}$.

The same procedure as that described above for $\mathbf{4 a}$ was used in the preparation of $4 \mathbf{b}$, except that $0.301 \mathrm{~g}(0.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $\left(\mu-p-\mathrm{MeC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Se}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Fe}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}$ was used instead of $(\mu-\mathrm{PhSe})_{2} \mathrm{Fe}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}$. Some $0.405 \mathrm{~g}(84 \%)$ of 4 b was produced as black crystals after recrystallization. M.p. $162-164^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; anal., calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{44} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{Se}_{2}$ : C, $55.03 ; \mathrm{H}, 3.78 \%$; found: C, $55.32 ; \mathrm{H}, 3.81 \%$; $\operatorname{IR}(\mathrm{KBr}$ disc): $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{C}=0} 2016$ (vs), 1950 (vs), $1909(\mathrm{~s}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \delta\right): 1.92,1.97$ (s, s, $6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), $6.11-7.84\left(\mathrm{~m}, 30 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{CH}, 4 \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}, 2 \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \delta\right): 20.87,20.99\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 127-140\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}, 2 \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}, 2 \mathrm{CH}\right)$,
151.19-151.90(m, CO), 212.89 (s, 3CO) ppm; ${ }^{77} \mathrm{Se}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{Se}_{2}, \delta\right)$ : $222.10(\mathrm{~s}), 314.73(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=560 \mathrm{~Hz}) \mathrm{ppm}$.

## Single crystal structural determination of $\mathbf{4 a}$

Crystals of 4a suitable for X-ray diffraction were obtained by recrystallization from $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} /$ hexane in a refrigerator. A black crystal measuring $0.3 \times 0.2 \times 0.2$ mm was mounted on a glass fibre and placed on a Rigaku-AFC 7R diffractometer fitted with a graphite monochromator. A total of 7541 independent reflections were collected at room temperature with $\mathrm{MoK} \alpha(\lambda=0.71069 \AA)$ radiation by the $\omega-2 \theta$ scan mode. Of these, 3941 independent reflections with $l>3 \mathrm{~s}(I)$ were considered to be observed and were used in subsequent refinement. Data were corrected for Lp factors. Crystal data are listed in Table I.

TABLE I Crystal data and details of structure refinement for 4 a

| Formula | $\mathrm{C}_{84} \mathrm{H}_{60} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{Se}_{4} \mathrm{Fe}_{4}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Formula Weight | 1860.52 |
| Crystal Colour, Habit | black, prismatic |
| Crystal System | monoclinic |
| Space Group | $P 2 / c(\# 14)$ |
| $a(\AA)$ | $21.908(3)$ |
| $b(\AA)$ | $17.207(2)$ |
| $c(\AA)$ | $23.954(2)$ |
| $\beta\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | $114.24(1)$ |
| $Z$ | 4 |
| $D c\left(\mathrm{~g} \cdot \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}\right)$ | 1.501 |
| $F(000)$ | 3712.00 |
| $\mu\left(\mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ | 25.89 |
| Data collected | 7813 |
| Unique data | 7451 |
| Data with $\Gamma 3 \sigma(I)$ | 3941 |
| $R$ | 0.060 |
| $R_{w}$ | 0.067 |
| $(\rho)$ max $\left(\mathrm{e} \AA^{-3}\right)$ | 1.2 |

The structure was solved by direct methods and Fourier techniques. Some non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically, while the rest were refined isotropically. Hydrogen atoms were included but not refined. The final refinement by full-matrix least-squares method for non-hydrogen atoms converged to unweighted and weighted agreement factors of $0.060(R)$ and $0.067\left(R_{w}\right)$. The highest peak in the final difference Fourier map was $1.2 \mathrm{e} / \AA \AA^{3}$ All calculations were performed using the TEXSAN crystallographic software package of the Molecular Structure Corporation.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

It has been found that one equivalent of selenolato-bridged compound $(\mu-\mathrm{PhSe})_{2} \mathrm{Fe}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}$ reacted with tertiary phosphine $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}$ or $\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{MeP}$ in benzene at reflux to afford mono-substituted derivatives $\left(\mu-\mathrm{PhSe}_{2} \mathrm{Fe}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{5}\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}\right)(\mathbf{1 a})\right.$ and $(\mu-\mathrm{PhSe})_{2} \mathrm{Fe}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{5}\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{MeP}\right)(\mathbf{l b})$, respectively (Scheme 1). Owing to the greater steric hindrance of $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}$ the yield of $\mathbf{1 a}$ is much lower than that of $\mathbf{1 b}$. For example, reaction with $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ for 3 h gave 1a in $47 \%$ yield, whereas reaction with $\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{MeP}$ for 3 h afforded $\mathbf{1 b}$ in essentially quantitative yield. Similarly, bissubstituted derivatives $(\mu-\mathrm{PhSe})_{2} \mathrm{Fe}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}\right)_{2}(\mathbf{2 a})$ and $(\mu-\mathrm{PhSe})_{2} \mathrm{Fe}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}-$ $\left.\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{MeP}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathbf{2 b}\right)$ (Scheme 1) were obtained in $39 \%$ yield by refluxing a mixture of $1: 3(\mu-\mathrm{PhSe})_{2} \mathrm{Fe}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}$ and the tetiary phosphine $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}$ or $\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{MeP}$ in toluene, along with the corresponding mono-substituted derivatives 1a (41\%) and $\mathbf{1 b}$ (53\%), respectively.


1a $\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}$
1b $\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{MeP}$


2a $L=\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}$
2b $\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{MeP}$

Scheme 1

Derivatives 1a-b (1a was previously reported, but with incomplete characterization data) ${ }^{7}$ and $\mathbf{2 a - b}$ were well characterized by elemental analysis, IR and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\left({ }^{77} \mathrm{Se}\right)$ NMR spectroscopies. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra exhibited the presence of corresponding organic groups and the IR spectra showed three absorption bands in the range 2041-1918 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$, characteristic of the terminal carbonyls attached to iron atoms. It is worth noting that $v_{\mathrm{C}=0}$ value of the derivatives, compared to the parent complex $(\mu-\mathrm{PhSe})_{2} \mathrm{Fe}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}\left(2065,2024,1991,1975 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right),{ }^{8}$ have shifted considerably to lower frequences. For example, for mono-substituted derivatives 1a-b, the highest energy $v_{C \equiv O}$ bands decrease by $24 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and $33 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ respectively, whereas for bis-substituted derivatives $\mathbf{2 a - b}$, the highest energy $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{C=O}}$ bands decrease by $82 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. Obviously, this should be attributed to the strong electron-releasing effects of tertiary phosphines, which can enhance $\mathrm{d} \pi$ - $\mathrm{p} \pi$ back-bonding between the iron atom and the carbon atom of the carbonyl groups, and in turn reduce the bond order of the terminal carbonyls. ${ }^{10}$

In addition, since the axial CO ligands are more reactive than equatorial ones in similar nucleophilic substitutions of thiolato-bridged complexes (this had been confirmed by crystal structures of corresponding tertiary phosphine substituted derivatives, such as $\left(\mu\right.$-cyclo- $\left.\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{~S}\right)\left(\mu-n-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{~S}\right) \mathrm{Fe}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{5}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)^{5}$ and $(\mu-i-$ $\left.\left.\left.\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{~S}\right)\left(\mu-\mathrm{PhCH}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right) \mathrm{Fe}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right)^{6}\right)$, the tertiary phosphine ligand L in 1a-b and 2a-b would be reasonably proposed as being located in an axial position.

Further study showed that the selenolato-bridged complexes $(\mu-\mathrm{PhSe}) \mathrm{Fe}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}$ and $\left(\mu-p-\mathrm{MeC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Se}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Fe}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}$ might react with ditertiary phosphine $\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}_{2}$. $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{PPh}_{2}$ in toluene at reflux to give symmetrically substituted, bridged species 3a-b (Scheme 2). Analysis, IR and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C},{ }^{77} \mathrm{Se}\right)$ NMR spectra are in good agreement with the structures shown in Scheme 2. For instance, the IR spectra of 3a-b, similar to those of bis-substituted 2a-b, showed four absorption bands for terminal carbonyls in the range $1984-1893 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. The highest energy $v_{\mathrm{C}=0}$ bands for $\mathbf{3 a - b}$, as compared with respective parent complexes $(\mu-\mathrm{PhSe}) \mathrm{Fe}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}$ and $\left(\mu-p-\mathrm{MeC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Se}_{2} \mathrm{Fe}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}\left(2065,2032,2000,1967 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)^{9}\right.$ decrease by 81 and $82 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, respectively.


3a $\mathrm{Ar}=\mathrm{Ph}$
3b $\mathrm{Ar}=\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{MeC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$


4a $\mathrm{Ar}=\mathrm{Ph}$
4b $\mathrm{Ar}=\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{MeC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$

Scheme 2
This implies that 3a-b are bis-substituted derivatives. However, in contrast to 2a-b derived from a monophosphine, the ditertiary phosphine ligand in 3a-b has displaced two less reactive equatorial carbonyls in order to avoid strong steric repulsions involving two $\mu$-ArSe ligands with the supposed endo-ditertiary phosphine $\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{PPh}_{2}$. In ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 3b there are two $p$-Me signals, at $\delta 2.12$ and 2.30 ppm , which means that the two $p-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ groups attached to Se atoms are in anti positions.

More interestingly, $(\mu-\mathrm{ArSe})_{2} \mathrm{Fe}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}\left(\mathrm{Ar}=\mathrm{Ph}, p-\mathrm{MeC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$ reacted with another ditertiary phosphine $\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}=\mathrm{CHPPh}_{2}$ in refluxing benzene to afford non-symmetrically substituted, chelated compounds $\mathbf{4 a - b}$ in $81 \%$ and $84 \%$ yields, respectively (Scheme 2). The structures shown in Scheme 2 are also in good agreement with analyses, IR and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C},{ }^{77} \mathrm{Se}\right)$ NMR data. The differences between the structures of $\mathbf{3 a - b}$ and $\mathbf{4 a - b}$ are reflected in the IR and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ spectra:
(i) in the IR spectra the highest energy $\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}}$ of $\mathbf{4 a - b}$, as compared with those of parent complexes, shifted toward lower frequencies by only 57 and $49 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, whereas those of $\mathbf{3 a - b}$ shifted by 81 and $82 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, as mentioned above. These values coincide very well with $\mathbf{4 a - b}$ having an unsubstituted $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}$ unit and with 3a-b having a bis-substituted $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}$ (diteritary phosphine) $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}$ structural unit; (ii) the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ spectrum of $\mathbf{3 b}$ showed one multiplet (with small ${ }^{2} \mathrm{JP}-\mathrm{C}$ ) at about $\delta 216 \mathrm{ppm}$, assigned to the carbonyls in the structural unit $\left[(\mathrm{CO})_{2} \mathrm{FePPh}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right]_{2}$, whereas that of $\mathbf{4 b}$ showed one multiplet (also with small ${ }^{2} \mathrm{Jp}-\mathrm{C}$ ) at about $\delta 151 \mathrm{ppm}$, assigned to the carbonyl attached to ditertiary phos-phine-chelated iron atom and one singlet at about 213 ppm , assigned to the carbonyls in the unsubstituted $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}$ group.

In addition, in a similar way to $\mathbf{3 b}$, the $p-\mathrm{MeC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ groups of $\mathbf{4 b}$ should be attached to Se atoms in anti positions since its ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum showed two singlets at $\delta 1.92 \mathrm{ppm}$ and $\delta 1.97 \mathrm{ppm}$ for two $p-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ substituents.

In order to elucidate the orientations of the aryl groups attached to Se atoms and to further establish the structures of the derivatives mentioned above, the ${ }^{77}$ Se NMR spectra of $\mathbf{1 a}, \mathbf{2 a}, \mathbf{3 a - b}, \mathbf{4 a - b}$ along with parent complex $(\mu-\mathrm{PhSe})_{2} \mathrm{Fe}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}$ were recorded. ${ }^{77} \mathrm{Se}$ NMR showed that the parent complex contains two kinds of Se atoms, which are bonded to two aryl groups in anti positions. This is because the spectrum of the parent complex showed two singlets, one at $\delta 318.03 \mathrm{ppm}$ and the other at 276.66 ppm . For the mono $-\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}$ substituted derivative 1a, the ${ }^{77} \mathrm{Se}$ NMR spectrum showed one singlet at $\delta 297.58$ ppm . This indicates that there is only one kind of Se atom both attached to syn phenyl groups (for 1a another syn isomer, which has two endo phenyl groups, could not exist due to strong steric repulsion between the two aryl groups) and thus the isomer conversion from anti to syn occured during nucleophilic substitution of the parent complex. However, for the bis- $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}$-substituted derivative 2a, the ${ }^{77}$ Se NMR spectrum showed two singlets at $\delta 273.31$ and 215.76 ppm , which clearly indicates that no isomer conversion occured during substitution and the two phenyl groups remain in anti positions. It should be pointed out that the two ${ }^{77} \mathrm{Se}$ values, compared to those of the parent complex shifted upfield about 44 ppm and 61 ppm due to the electron-releasing effects of the two $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}$ ligands. Different from 1a and 2a, the ${ }^{77} \mathrm{Se}$ NMR spectrum of 3a showed one singlet at $\delta 243.01 \mathrm{ppm}$ and one triplet at $\delta 320.31 \mathrm{ppm}\left({ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{P} \text {-SE }}=250 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$ ), while that of 3b showed one singlet at 239.05 ppm and one triplet at 313.09 ppm ${ }^{( }{ }^{2}{ }^{\mathrm{P}}$-SE $\left.=268 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$. These data are in good agreement with the symmetrically substituted structures having two anti aryl groups. The downfield triplet is assigned to the Se atom close to the two P atoms of the ditertiary phosphine, whereas the upfield singlet is assigned to the Se atom remote from the ditertiary phosphine.

The ${ }^{77} \mathrm{Se}$ NMR spectra for $\mathbf{4 a - b}$ are in accordance with the non-symmetrically substituted, chelated structures having two anti aryl groups. The spectra showed one singlet upfield ( $\delta 223.55 \mathrm{ppm}$ for $\mathbf{4 a}$ and $\delta 222.10 \mathrm{ppm}$ for $\mathbf{4 b}$ ) and one doublet downfield [ $\delta 324.01 \mathrm{ppm}\left({ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{P} \text {-SE }}=490 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$ ) for 4 a and $\delta 314.73$ $\mathrm{ppm}\left({ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{SE}}=560 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$ for $\mathbf{4 b}$ ]. The downfield doublet for $\mathbf{4 a}$ or $\mathbf{4 b}$ is assigned to the Se atom close to the P atom in an equatorial position. Similar coupling interactions between phosphorus and selenium were also observed in the case of $(\mu-\mathrm{PhSe})[\mu-\mathrm{CPhSe}(\mathrm{i}-\mathrm{Pr}) \mathrm{P}] \mathrm{Fe}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{6} .{ }^{12}$

In order to unequivocally confirm the structure of $\mathbf{4 a}$, an X -ray diffraction analysis was undertaken. Table II lists atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic temperature factors for non-hydrogen atoms. Although there are two crystallographically independent molecules in the asymmetric unit, only one set of bond lengths and one set of angles are listed in Tables III and IV, respectively. This is because the two independent molecules are essentially the same, slight differences being within experimental error. Figure 1 shows the molecular structure.

TABLE II Fractional coordinates and equivalent isotropic thermal parameters for non-hydrogen atoms of 4 a

| Atom | $x / a$ | $y / b$ | $z / c$ | $B e q$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{Se}(1)$ | $0.0248(1)$ | $-0.0042(1)$ | $0.20688(9)$ | $3.14(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(2)$ | $-0.1139(1)$ | $-0.0811(1)$ | $0.12867(9)$ | $3.18(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(3)$ | $0.5534(1)$ | $0.2341(1)$ | $0.24894(9)$ | $3.74(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(4)$ | $0.6164(1)$ | $0.2004(1)$ | $0.15502(9)$ | $3.61(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{Fe}(1)$ | $-0.0073(1)$ | $-0.1373(1)$ | $0.1887(1)$ | $2.76(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{Fe}(2)$ | $-0.0260(1)$ | $-0.0387(2)$ | $0.1003(1)$ | $3.25(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{Fe}(3)$ | $0.5349(1)$ | $0.1302(2)$ | $0.1762(1)$ | $3.12(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{F}(4)$ | $0.5206(2)$ | $0.2766(2)$ | $0.1459(1)$ | $3.73(9)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)$ | $-0.002(3)$ | $-0.1824(3)$ | $0.2770(2)$ | $3.2(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}(2)$ | $-0.0406(3)$ | $-0.2549(3)$ | $0.1516(2)$ | $3.3(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}(3)$ | $0.451(3)$ | $0.0853(3)$ | $0.1957(2)$ | $3.5(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}(4)$ | $0.5903(3)$ | $0.0243(3)$ | $0.2195(2)$ | $3.4(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $-0.0833(9)$ | $-0.1259(9)$ | $-0.0146(7)$ | $6.9(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $0.1026(8)$ | $-0.0559(9)$ | $0.0925(7)$ | $5.7(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)$ | $0.1258(7)$ | $-0.1937(8)$ | $0.2135(6)$ | $4.4(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(4)$ | $-0.0678(9)$ | $0.1188(9)$ | $0.0501(8)$ | $8.1(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(5)$ | $0.4760(7)$ | $0.0655(8)$ | $0.0546(6)$ | $4.6(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(6)$ | $0.4555(9)$ | $0.264(1)$ | $0.0135(7)$ | $8.0(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(7)$ | $0.3871(9)$ | $0.3045(10)$ | $0.1385(8)$ | $7.1(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(8)$ | $0.573(1)$ | $0.4341(9)$ | $0.1558(8)$ | $8.4(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $-0.062(1)$ | $-0.093(1)$ | $0.031(1)$ | $5.0(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $0.052(1)$ | $-0.047(1)$ | $0.0969(7)$ | $2.5(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $0.074(1)$ | $-0.170(1)$ | $0.2041(9)$ | $3.2(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $-0.055(1)$ | $0.058(1)$ | $0.0688(10)$ | $5.2(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $-0.016(1)$ | $-0.322(1)$ | $0.2175(9)$ | $4.6(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $0.003(1)$ | $-0.290(1)$ | $0.2721(9)$ | $4.0(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $0.118(1)$ | $0.015(1)$ | $0.2310(9)$ | $4.1(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $0.140(1)$ | $0.075(1)$ | $0.2047(9)$ | $5.2(5)$ |

TABLE II (Continued)

| Atom | $x / a$ | $y / b$ | $z / c$ | Beq |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $0.209(1)$ | 0.090(1) | 0.226(1) | 6.3(6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)$ | 0.254(1) | 0.049 (1) | 0.270 (1) | $7.0(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $0.235(1)$ | -0.011(1) | 0.2987(10) | 6.2(6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)$ | 0.166 (1) | -0.027(1) | 0.2785(9) | 5.3(5) |
| C(13) | 0.0730(10) | -0.155(1) | 0.3455(8) | $3.5(4)$ |
| C(14) | $0.126(1)$ | -0.205(1) | 0.3682(10) | 5.4(5) |
| $\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $0.184(1)$ | -0.177(2) | 0.419(1) | 8.4(7) |
| $\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $0.188(1)$ | -0.104(2) | 0.442(1) | $7.2(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(17)$ | 0.132(1) | -0.058(1) | $0.4196(10)$ | 5.9(6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(18)$ | 0.073(1) | -0.081(1) | 0.3696(9) | 4.4(5) |
| C(19) | -0.0689(9) | -0.1785(10) | $0.3057(8)$ | 2.8(4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(20)$ | -0.054(1) | -0.197(1) | $0.3655(9)$ | 5.0(5) |
| $\mathrm{C}(21)$ | $-0.107(2)$ | -0.198(2) | $0.384(1)$ | 8.4(7) |
| C(22) | -0.170(2) | -0.183(2) | 0.347 (1) | 8.6(8) |
| C(23) | -0.185(1) | -0.169(1) | 0.288(1) | 6.5(6) |
| C(24) | -0.133(1) | -0.166(1) | 0.2679(9) | 4.6(5) |
| C(25) | $-0.1407(10)$ | $0.009(1)$ | 0.1637(8) | 3.8(4) |
| C(26) | -0.116(1) | 0.019(1) | 0.2255(9) | 4.2(5) |
| C(27) | -0.143(1) | 0.086(1) | 0.2481 (9) | 5.5(5) |
| C(28) | -0.186(1) | $0.132(1)$ | $0.207(1)$ | 6.0(6) |
| C(29) | -0.207(1) | $0.123(1)$ | $0.1493(10)$ | 5.3(5) |
| C(30) | -0.185(1) | 0.059(1) | 0.1228 (8) | 4.4(5) |
| C(31) | -0.1300(10) | -0.279(1) | $0.1096(8)$ | 3.7(4) |
| C(32) | -0.167(1) | -0.302(1) | $0.1397(9)$ | 5.4(5) |
| C(33) | -0.237(1) | -0.316(1) | $0.108(1)$ | 7.9(7) |
| C(34) | -0.263(1) | $-0.311(1)$ | 0.044(1) | 6.066) |
| C(35) | -0.228(1) | -0.288(1) | 0.014(1) | 6.5(6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(36)$ | -0.156(1) | -0.272(1) | 0.0473(9) | 5.1(5) |
| C(37) | -0.0043(10) | -0.304(1) | $0.1049(8)$ | 3.6(4) |
| C(38) | -0.011(1) | -0.386(1) | $0.0936(10)$ | 5.7(6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(39)$ | $0.015(1)$ | -0.425(1) | 0.0581(10) | 5.9.6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(40)$ | $0.049(1)$ | -0.383(1) | 0.0314(9) | 5.6(6) |
| C(41) | $0.058(1)$ | -0.301(1) | 0.0398(9) | 5.1(5) |
| C(42) | $0.032(1)$ | -0.263(1) | 0.0791 (8) | 4.2(5) |
| $\mathrm{C}(43)$ | $0.5009(10)$ | $0.091(1)$ | 0.1053(9) | 2.9(6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(44)$ | 0.484(1) | $0.267(1)$ | $0.067(1)$ | 5.5(7) |
| C(45) | 0.443 (1) | 0.292(1) | 0.1435(9) | 4.3(7) |
| C(46) | $0.555(1)$ | 0.374(1) | $0.1535(9)$ | 4.9(7) |
| C(47) | $0.531(1)$ | -0.042(1) | 0.2348(8) | 3.6(6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(48)$ | 0.471 (1) | -0.014(1) | $0.2239(8)$ | 3.8 (6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(49)$ | $0.647(1)$ | 0.262(1) | 0.3005(9) | 4.1(5) |
| C(50) | $0.672(1)$ | 0.230(1) | 0.3585(10) | 5.1(5) |
| C(51) | $0.736(1)$ | 0.251(1) | 0.3998(10) | 5.7(6) |
| C(52) | $0.774(1)$ | $0.300(1)$ | 0.383(1) | 6.1(6) |
| C(53) | $0.747(1)$ | $0.333(1)$ | 0.325(1) | 6.0(6) |
| C(54) | $0.683(1)$ | $0.313(1)$ | 0.2815 (9) | 4.8(5) |
| C(55) | $0.471(1)$ | $0.111(1)$ | 0.3163(10) | 5.1(5) |
| C(56) | 0.463(1) | 0.146(1) | 0.365(1) | 6.8 (6) |
| C(57) | 0.411(1) | 0.197(1) | 0.349(1) | 7.0 (6) |
| C(58) | 0.373 (1) | 0.218(1) | 0.293 (1) | 7.6 (7) |
| C(59) | 0.381 (1) | 0.181(1) | 0.2445(9) | 5.4(5) |
| $\mathrm{C}(60)$ | $0.4311(10)$ | $0.129(1)$ | 0.2557(8) | 3.6(4) |
| C(61) | $0.3668(9)$ | 0.074(1) | 0.1340(8) | 3.1(4) |
| C (62) | $0.346(1)$ | 0.111(1) | 0.0795(9) | 4.4(5) |

TABLE II (Continued)

| Atom | $x / a$ | $y / b$ | $z / C$ | Beq |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C(63) | 0.284(1) | $0.099(1)$ | $0.0328(9)$ | 4.4(5) |
| C(64) | 0.242(1) | 0.049(1) | 0.0428(10) | 5.3(5) |
| C(65) | 0.260 (1) | 0.010 (1) | $0.097(1)$ | 7.1 (6) |
| C(66) | $0.322(1)$ | $0.024(1)$ | $0.1462(10)$ | $5.5(5)$ |
| C(67) | 0.6131 (6) | $0.181(1)$ | $0.0750(8)$ | 3.4(4) |
| C(68) | $0.617(1)$ | 0.241 (1) | 0.0390(9) | 5.1(5) |
| C(69) | 0.619(1) | 0.229(1) | -0.018(1) | 6.7(6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(70)$ | 0.623(1) | $0.156(1)$ | -0.036(1) | 6.5(6) |
| C(71) | $0.622(1)$ | 0.093(1) | $0.001(1)$ | 5.9(6) |
| C (72) | $0.6178(10)$ | 0.106 (1) | 0.0553(9) | 4.0(5) |
| $\mathrm{C}(73)$ | $0.6171(10)$ | -0.038(1) | 0.1725(8) | 2.9 (4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(74)$ | $0.5660(10)$ | -0.078(1) | 0.1257 (8) | 3.5(4) |
| C(75) | 0.581 (1) | -0.122(1) | 0.0832(8) | 4.3(5) |
| C(76) | 0.644(1) | -0.126(1) | 0.0875(8) | 4.2(5) |
| C(77) | 0.695(1) | -0.088(1) | $0.1335(9)$ | 4.4(5) |
| C(78) | 0.680(1) | -0.043(1) | $0.1757(8)$ | $4.2(5)$ |
| C(79) | 0.665(1) | 0.025 (1) | 0.2915 (9) | $4.2(5)$ |
| C(80) | 0.673(1) | -0.023(1) | $0.338(1)$ | 6.2(6) |
| C(81) | 0.733(2) | -0.025(2) | 0.390 (1) | 8.2(7) |
| C(82) | 0.780(1) | 0.025 (1) | 0.394(1) | 6.7(6) |
| C(83) | 0.777(1) | 0.076 (1) | $0.352(1)$ | 5.4(5) |
| C(84) | 0.716(1) | 0.078(1) | 0.2998(10) | 5.5(5) |

TABLE III Selected bond lengths ( $\AA$ ) for 4 a

| atom | atom | distance | atom | atom | distance |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Se}(1)$ | $\mathrm{Fe}(1)$ | $2.383(3)$ | $\mathrm{Se}(1)$ | $\mathrm{Fe}(2)$ | $2.403(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{Se}(1)$ | $\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $1.91(2)$ | $\mathrm{Se}(2)$ | $\mathrm{Fe}(1)$ | $2.388(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{Se}(2)$ | $\mathrm{Fe}(2)$ | $2.403(4)$ | $\mathrm{Se}(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(25)$ | $1.96(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)$ | $\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $1.86(2)$ | $\mathrm{P}(1)$ | $\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $1.85(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)$ | $\mathrm{C}(19)$ | $1.86(2)$ | $\mathrm{P}(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $1.85(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(31)$ | $1.84(2)$ | $\mathrm{P}(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(37)$ | $1.82(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Fe}(1)$ | $\mathrm{Fe}(2)$ | $2.611(4)$ | $\mathrm{Fe}(1)$ | $\mathrm{P}(1)$ | $2.211(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{Fe}(1)$ | $\mathrm{P}(2)$ | $2.211(6)$ | $\mathrm{Fe}(1)$ | $\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $1.76(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Fe}(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $1.78(2)$ | $\mathrm{Fe}(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $1.76(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $1.15(2)$ | $\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $1.16(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $1.14(2)$ | $\mathrm{O}(4)$ | $\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $1.12(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Fe}(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(43)$ | $1.69(2)$ | $\mathrm{Fe}(4)$ | $\mathrm{C}(44)$ | $1.73(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Fe}(4)$ | $\mathrm{C}(45)$ | $1.70(3)$ | $\mathrm{Fe}(4)$ | $\mathrm{C}(46)$ | $1.81(2)$ |

TABLE IV Selected bond angles $(\AA)$ for 4 a

| atom | atom | atom | angle | atom | atom | atom | angle |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Fe}(1)$ | $\mathrm{Se}(1)$ | $\mathrm{Fe}(2)$ | $66.1(1)$ | $\mathrm{Fe}(1)$ | $\mathrm{Se}(1)$ | $\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $114.6(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{Fe}(2)$ | $\mathrm{Se}(1)$ | $\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $109.6(6)$ | $\mathrm{Fe}(1)$ | $\mathrm{Se}(2)$ | $\mathrm{Fe}(2)$ | $66.0(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Fe}(1)$ | $\mathrm{Se}(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(25)$ | $116.9(6)$ | $\mathrm{Fe}(2)$ | $\mathrm{Se}(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(25)$ | $107.3(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{Fe}(1)$ | $\mathrm{P}(1)$ | $\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $105.5(7)$ | $\mathrm{Fe}(1)$ | $\mathrm{P}(1)$ | $\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $115.9(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{Fe}(1)$ | $\mathrm{P}(1)$ | $\mathrm{C}(19)$ | $127.2(6)$ | $\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $\mathrm{P}(1)$ | $\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $104.5(9)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $\mathrm{P}(1)$ | $\mathrm{C}(19)$ | $98.1(9)$ | $\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $\mathrm{P}(1)$ | $\mathrm{C}(19)$ | $102.3(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{Se}(1)$ | $\mathrm{Fe}(1)$ | $\mathrm{Se}(2)$ | $82.1(1)$ | $\mathrm{Se}(1)$ | $\mathrm{Fe}(1)$ | $\mathrm{Fe}(2)$ | $57.31(9)$ |
| $\mathrm{Se}(1)$ | $\mathrm{Fe}(1)$ | $\mathrm{P}(1)$ | $105.5(2)$ | $\mathrm{Se}(1)$ | $\mathrm{Fe}(1)$ | $\mathrm{P}(2)$ | $167.7(2)$ |

TABLE IV (Continued)

| atom | atom | atom | angle | atom | atom | atom | angle |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Se}(1)$ | $\mathrm{Fe}(1)$ | $\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $94.1(6)$ | $\mathrm{Se}(2)$ | $\mathrm{Fe}(1)$ | $\mathrm{Fe}(2)$ | $57.25(10)$ |
| $\mathrm{Se}(2)$ | $\mathrm{Fe}(1)$ | $\mathrm{P}(1)$ | $111.8(2)$ | $\mathrm{Se}(2)$ | $\mathrm{Fe}(1)$ | $\mathrm{P}(2)$ | $92.8(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Se}(2)$ | $\mathrm{Fe}(1)$ | $\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $155.9(7)$ | $\mathrm{Fe}(2)$ | $\mathrm{Fe}(1)$ | $\mathrm{P}(1)$ | $158.9(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Fe}(2)$ | $\mathrm{Fe}(1)$ | $\mathrm{P}(2)$ | $110.6(2)$ | $\mathrm{Fe}(2)$ | $\mathrm{Fe}(1)$ | $\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $100.8(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)$ | $\mathrm{Fe}(1)$ | $\mathrm{P}(2)$ | $86.7(2)$ | $\mathrm{P}(1)$ | $\mathrm{Fe}(1)$ | $\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $92.2(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}(2)$ | $\mathrm{Fe}(1)$ | $\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $85.9(6)$ | $\mathrm{Se}(1)$ | $\mathrm{Fe}(2)$ | $\mathrm{Se}(2)$ | $81.4(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Se}(1)$ | $\mathrm{Fe}(2)$ | $\mathrm{Fe}(1)$ | $56.57(9)$ | $\mathrm{Se}(1)$ | $\mathrm{Fe}(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $162.7(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{Se}(1)$ | $\mathrm{Fe}(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $92.0(5)$ | $\mathrm{Se}(1)$ | $\mathrm{Fe}(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $98.2(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{Se}(2)$ | $\mathrm{Fe}(2)$ | $\mathrm{Fe}(1)$ | $56.70(10)$ | $\mathrm{Se}(2)$ | $\mathrm{Fe}(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $90.4(7)$ |

As seen in Figure 1, the ditertiary phosphine $\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}=\mathrm{CHPPh}_{2}$ has indeed displaced one axial CO and one equatorial CO from the same iron atom of the parent complex. Additionally, Figure 1 clearly shows that the two phenyl groups are bonded to the two Se atoms in anti positions.

The $\mathrm{Fe}(1)-\mathrm{Fe}(2)$ [2.611(4) $\AA$ ] distance of $\mathbf{4 a}$ is slightly longer than $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{Fe}$ bondlengths in similar Se-containing compounds, such as 2.544(2) $\AA$ in $(\mu-\mathrm{PhSe})(\mu-\mathrm{PhCH}=\mathrm{CH}) \mathrm{Fe}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{6},{ }^{8}$ and $2.575(2) \AA$ in $(\mu-\mathrm{Se} 2) \mathrm{Fe}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}{ }^{11}$ In the $\mathbf{4 a}$ the bondlengths $\mathrm{Fe}(1)-\mathrm{Se}(1)$ and $\mathrm{Fe}(1)-\mathrm{Se}(2)$ (close to the ditertiary phosphine) equal $2.383(3)$ and $2.388(3) \AA$, and are slightly shorter than $\mathrm{Fe}(2)-\mathrm{Se}(1) 2.403(3)$ and $\mathrm{Fe}(2) — \mathrm{Se}(2) 2.403(4) \AA$ (remote from the ditertiary phosphine). The average $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{Se}$ bondlength of $\mathbf{4 a}(2.395 \AA$ ) is almost the same as the average $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{Se}$ bondlengths in $(\mu-\mathrm{PhSe})(\mu-\mathrm{PhCH}=\mathrm{CH})$ $\mathrm{Fe}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}(2.385 \AA)^{8}$ and slightly longer than that in $\left(\mu-\mathrm{Se}_{2}\right) \mathrm{Fe}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}(02.364 \AA) .{ }^{11}$ It is noteworthy that this is the first crystal structure for a ditertiary phosphine, non-symmetrically substituted, derivative of $(\mu-\mathrm{RE})_{2} \mathrm{Fe}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}(\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{Se})$.


FIGURE 1 Structure of 4a showing the atom numbering scheme.

## SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL AVAILABLE

Tables of fractional atomic coordinates, thermal parameters, interatomic distances, observed and calculated structure factors are available on request from the authors.
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